FEB 21 7013

Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 141267
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-8944
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. VN-2010-3719

MICHAEL A. COLINO 17220 Grevillea Ave, Apt. A Lawndale, CA 90260

Vocational Nurse License No. VN 235718

Respondent.

ACCUSATION

16

Complainant alleges:

1819

20

21

22

23

24

17

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

PARTIES

2. On or about July 14, 2008, the Board issued Vocational Nurse License No. VN 235718 to Michael A. Colino (Respondent). The Vocational Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2014, unless renewed.

25

26

27

28

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Under section 2892.1, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within four years after the expiration.
 - 5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
 - 6. Section 492 provides:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for

professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

"This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

- 7. Section 2875 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline the holder of a vocational nurse license for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2875) of the Vocational Nursing Practice Act.
 - 8. Section 2878 provides, in pertinent part:

"The Board may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter [the Vocational Nursing Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, 2840, et seq.)] for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(d) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter.
- "(f) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed vocational nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction."
 - 9. Section 2878.5 provides, in pertinent part:

"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Vocational Nursing Practice Act] it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

"(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public, or to the

2.1

extent that the use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

"(c) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving possession of any narcotic or dangerous drug, or the prescription, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence thereof."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2521, provides:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed vocational nurse if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensed vocational nurse to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

| ...

"(c) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of Chapter 6.5, Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, and 2878, subdivision (f), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2521, in that Respondent

8

9

11

10

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2526

27

28

was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a vocational nurse as follows:

- On or about August 18, 2011, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Michael Angel Colino (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1SY03235). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 20 days in jail; placed him on probation for a period of 4 years; ordered him to enroll and complete an 18 month licensed second-offender alcohol and drug education and counseling program; and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 02, 2011, a Gardena Police Officer, while on patrol duty, observed Respondent drive on the sidewalk in a residential area, in violation of Vehicle Code section 21663. The officer conducted a routine traffic stop, and identified the Respondent as the driver. During the investigation, the officer smelled a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath, and observed Respondent's eyes to be bloodshot and watery. Respondent admitted to the officer that he had, "One Heinekein at Starz." Respondent agreed to a series of field sobriety tests which he failed to complete satisfactorily. Respondent subsequently agreed to two blood tests with results of .145% BAC and .155% BAC.
- b. On or about May 27, 2009, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Angel Colino* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. LAV9VY0093701). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 15, 2009, Respondent did unlawfully drive a vehicle while have a 0.08 percent or more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood, violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b).
- c. On or about October 9, 2008, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Los Angeles Municipal Code, section 41.47.2

[urinating or defecating in public], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Angel Colino* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2008, No. LAV8PY0554101). The Court ordered Respondent to pay a fine of \$238.00. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about August 8, 2008, Respondent did unlawfully urinate or defecate in a public place, in violation of Los Angeles Municipal Code section 41.47.2.

d. On or about July 05, 2002, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 653m [annoying phone calls: obscene threats], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Angel Colino* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2002, No. 2PN02284). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 1 day in jail, placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 29, 2002, Respondent left annoying telephone calls on the victim's cell phone while victim was at the West Valley Police Department making an annoying telephone calls report against Respondent. The officers at the station played back the victim's cellular phone messages left by Respondent calling the victim a "b..." and a "w..." While the victim was at the station making the report the victim's phone rang on 7 to 8 occasions within a 30 minute span. The Respondent was arrested in front of the station while following the victim for violating Penal Code section 653m [annoying telephone calls.]

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Drug and/or Alcohol Related Crimes)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2878, subdivision (a), and 2878.5, subdivision (c), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title, 16, section 2521, subdivision (f), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes involving drugs and / or alcoholic beverages. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

////

////

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Drugs and/or Alcohol)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2878, subdivisions (b) and (c), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent used alcoholic beverages and / or drugs to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself, any other person, or the public as follows:
- a. On or about February 07, 2003, San Fernando Police Department Officers were dispatched to investigate a grand theft auto in progress. Upon arrival at the scene, the officers identified Respondent as one of the suspects who was standing outside of the vehicle and began walking to the rear of the vehicle when he saw the police officers. The officers conducted a body search of Respondent and recovered a plastic bag from Respondent's right front pants pocket containing marijuana. The officers observed Respondent to have a dazed expression, dilated pupils, and an elevated pulse rate indicating he was under the influence of a controlled substance. The Respondent agreed to a Rhomberg test with an estimated passage of 30 seconds in 11 seconds. The Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a).

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct / Violate Act)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2878, subdivision (d), and 2878.5, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts involving unprofessional conduct, violating the Vocational Nursing Practice Act. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 12 through 14, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

16. To determine the degree of discipline, if any to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges the following: